

## Chapter 14: Finite Set and Continuous Variables - SONET Design Problem

#### Helmut Simonis

Cork Constraint Computation Centre Computer Science Department University College Cork Ireland

ECLiPSe ELearning Overview

Helmut Simonis Finite Set and Continuous Variables

Cork Constraint omputation

#### Licence

This work is licensed under the Creative Commons Attribution-Noncommercial-Share Alike 3.0 Unported License. To view a copy of this license, visit http:

//creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-sa/3.0/ or send a letter to Creative Commons, 171 Second Street, Suite 300, San Francisco, California, 94105, USA.



Constraint omputation Centre











#### What we want to introduce

- Finite set variables
- Continuous domains
- Optimization from below
- Advanced symmetry breaking
- SONET design problem without inter-ring flows

< 🗇 🕨

· < 프 > < 프 >

Constraint omputation



#### Program







## **Problem Definition**

#### SONET Design Problem

We want to design a network with multiple SONET rings, minimizing ADM equipment. Traffic can only be transported between nodes connected to the same ring, not between rings. Traffic demands between nodes are given. Decide which nodes to place on which ring(s), respecting a maximal number of ADM per ring, and capacity limits on ring traffic. If two nodes are connected on more than one ring, the traffic between them can be split arbitrarily between the rings. The objective is to minimize the overall number of ADM.

Constraint omputation





Constraint Computation Centre

・ロト ・回ト ・ヨト ・ヨト

#### Problem Program Search Conclusions Example



Every node connected to at least one ring

⇒ < ⇒ >

A B + A B +
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A

#### Problem Program Search Conclusions Example



On every ring are at least two nodes

코 에 제 코 어





N1 connected to R2 and R3

・ロト ・回ト ・ヨト ・ヨト

# Example



N4 and N2 can't talk to each other

ヘロト ヘワト ヘビト ヘビト

#### Problem Program Search Conclusions Example



Traffic between N1 and N2 must use R2

ヘロト ヘワト ヘビト ヘビト

#### Problem Program Search Conclusions Example



Traffic between N2 and N3 can use either R1 or R2, or both

A B A B A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A

Cork



- Demands  $d \in D$  between nodes  $f_d$  and  $t_d$  of size  $s_d$
- Rings R, total of |R| = r rings
- Each ring has capacity c
- Nodes N

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

Cork Constraint Computation

## Model

- Primary model integer 0/1 variables x<sub>ik</sub>
  - Node *i* has a connection to ring *k*
  - A node can be connected to more than one ring
- Continuous [0..1] variables f<sub>dk</sub>
  - Which fraction of total traffic of demand d is transported on ring k
  - A demand can use a ring only if both end-points are connected to it

< □ > < 同 > < 三 > <

Constraint omputation

 $\min\sum_{i\in N}\sum_{k\in R}x_{ik}$ 

$$\sum_{i \in N} x_{ik} \leq r \qquad (1)$$

$$\sum_{i \in N} f_{dk} = 1 \qquad (2)$$

$$\sum_{d \in D} s_d * f_{dk} \leq c \qquad (3)$$

$$f_{dk} \leq x_{f_dk} \qquad (4) \quad ork$$

$$f_{dk} \leq x_{t_dk} \qquad (4) \quad ork$$

#### **Dual Models**

- Introducing finite set variables
- Range over sets of integers, not just integers
- Most useful when we don't know the number of items involved
- Here: for each node, the rings on which it is placed
- Could be one, could be two, or more
- Hard to express with finite domain variables alone

< 🗇 🕨

- Finite set variables *N<sub>i</sub>* 
  - Which rings node *i* is connected to
- Cardinality finite domain variables n<sub>i</sub>

• 
$$|N_i| = n_i$$

イロト イポト イヨト イヨ

Constraint computation computation

#### Problem Program Search Conclusions Dual Model 2

- Finite set variables R<sub>k</sub>
  - Which nodes ring k is connected to
- Cardinality finite domain variables *r<sub>k</sub>*

• 
$$|R_k| = r_k$$

イロト イポト イヨト イヨ

Constraint computation computation

#### Channeling between models

Use the zero/one model as common ground

• 
$$x_{ik} = 1 \Leftrightarrow k \in N_i$$

•  $x_{ik} = 1 \Leftrightarrow i \in R_k$ 

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

Cork Constraint Computation

# Constraints in dual models

• For every demand, source and sink must be on (at least one) shared ring

• 
$$\forall d \in D$$
:  $|N_{f_d} \cap N_{t_d}| \ge 1$ 

Every node must be on a ring

• A ring can not have a single node connected to it

• 
$$r_k \neq 1$$

Constraint omputation Centre

## Assignment Strategy

- Cost based decomposition
- Assign total cost first
- Then assign *n<sub>i</sub>* variables
- Finally, assign x<sub>ik</sub> variables
- If required, fix flow f<sub>dk</sub> variables
- Might leave flows as bound-consistent continuous domains

Constraint omputation

## Optimization from below

- Optimization handled by assigning cost first
- Enumerate values increasing from lower bound
- First feasible solution is optimal
- Depends on proving infeasibility rapidly ٥
- Does not provide sub-optimal initial solutions



#### **Redundant Constraints**

#### • Deduce bounds in *n<sub>i</sub>* variables

• Helps with finding *n<sub>i</sub>* assignment which can be extended

Symmetry Breaking

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

Constraint omputation Centre



- Typically no symmetries between demands
- Full permutation symmetry on rings
- Gives r! permutations
- These must be handled somehow
- Further symmetries if capacity seen as discrete channels

< 🗇 🕨

Constraint omputation

# Symmetry Breaking Choices

- As part of assignment routine
  - SBDS (symmetry breaking during search)
  - Define all symmetries as parameter
  - Search routine eliminates symmetric sub-trees
- By stating ordering constraints
  - As shown in the BIBD example
  - Ordering constraints not always compatible with search heuristic
  - Particular problem of dynamic variable ordering

## Outline











Helmut Simonis Finite Set and Continuous Variables

## Defining finite set variables

- Library ic\_sets
- Domain definition X :: Low..High
  - Low, High sets of integer values, e.g. [1, 3, 4]
- Or intsets (L, N, Min, Max)
  - L is a list of N set variables
  - each containing all values between Min and Max

### Using finite set variables

- Set Expressions: A ∧ B, A ∨ B
- Cardinality constraint: # (Set, Size)
  - Size integer or finite domain variable
- membership\_booleans(Set,Booleans)

Helmut Simonis

• Channeling between set and 0/1 integer variables

# Using continuous variables

- Library ic handles both
  - Finite domain variables
  - Continuous variables
- Use floats as domain bounds, e.g. X :: 0.0 .. 1.0
- Use s = etc for constraints instead of #=
- Bounds reasoning similar to finite case
- But must deal with safe rounding
- Not all constraints deal with continuous variables

Helmut Simonis

Constraint omputation Centre

# Ambiguous Import

- Multiple solvers define predicates like ::
- If we load multiple solvers in the same module, we have to tell ECLiPSe which one to use
- Compiler does not deduce this from context!
- So
  - ic:(X :: 1..3)
  - ic\_sets:(X :: [] .. [1,2,3])
- Otherwise, we get loads of error messages
- Happens whenever two modules export same predicate

Constraint omputation

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

## **Top-level** predicate

- :-module(sonet).
- :-export(top/0).
- :-lib(ic),lib(ic\_global),lib(ic\_sets).

#### top:-

• • •

Constraint omputation Centre

ヘロト ヘ戸ト ヘヨト ヘヨト

## Matrix of $x_{ik}$ integer variables

...
dim(Matrix,[NrNodes,NrRings]),
ic:(Matrix[1..NrNodes,1..NrRings] :: 0..1),
...



#### Node and ring set variables

```
...
dim(Nodes,[NrNodes]),
intsets(Nodes[1..NrNodes],NrNodes,1,NrRings),
dim(NodeSizes,[NrNodes]),
ic:(NodeSizes[1..NrNodes] :: 1..NrRings),
dim(Rings,[NrRings]),
intsets(Rings[1..NrRings],NrRings,1,NrNodes),
dim(RingSizes,[NrRings]),
ic:(RingSizes[1..NrRings] :: 0..MaxRingSize),
...
```

Constraint omputation Centre

ヘロト 人間 ト ヘヨト ヘヨト

#### Channeling node set variables

Constraint omputation

ヘロト ヘ戸ト ヘヨト ヘヨト

## Channeling ring set variables

Constraint omputation

くロト (過) (目) (日)

#### Demand ends must be (on atleast one) same ring

```
...
(foreach(demand(I,J,_Size),Demands),
param(Nodes,NrRings) do
    subscript(Nodes,[I],NI),
    subscript(Nodes,[J],NJ),
    ic:(NonZero :: 1..NrRings),
    #(NI /\ NJ,NonZero)
),
```

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

Constraint omputation Centre

#### **Flow Variables**

```
dim(Flow, [NrDemands, NrRings]),
ic:(Flow[1..NrDemands, 1..NrRings]::0.0 .. 1.0),
(for(I,1,NrDemands),
 param(Flow, NrRings) do
     (for(J,1,NrRings),
     fromto(0.0, A, A+F, Term),
     param(Flow, I) do
    subscript(Flow, [I, J], F)
    ),
    eval(Term) \$= 1.0
),
                                                onstraint
                                                omputation
                                                   entre
```

ヘロト ヘ戸ト ヘヨト ヘヨト

# **Ring Capacity Constraints**

```
. . .
(for(I,1,NrRings),
param(Flow, Demands, ChannelSize) do
    (foreach(demand(,,Size),Demands),
     count(J,1,),
     fromto(0.0, A, A+Size*F, Term),
     param(Flow, I) do
    subscript(Flow, [J, I], F)
    ),
    eval(Term) $=< ChannelSize
),
```

Constraint omputation Centre

イロン 不同 とくほ とくほ とう

## Linking $x_{ik}$ and $f_{dk}$ variables

```
(foreach (demand (From, To, _), Demands),
 count(I,1,),
 param(Flow, Matrix, NrRings) do
    (for(K,1,NrRings),
     param(I, From, To, Flow, Matrix) do
    subscript(Flow, [I,K],F),
    subscript(Matrix, [From, K], X1),
    subscript(Matrix, [To, K], X2),
    F $=< X1.
    F $=< X2
),
                               くロト (過) (目) (日)
```

onstraint

omputation Centre

# Setting up degrees

```
...
dim(Degrees, [NrNodes]),
(for(I,1,NrNodes),
param(Degrees) do
    subscript(Degrees, [I], Degree),
    neighbors(I, Neighbors),
    length(Neighbors, Degree)
),
...
```

Constraint omputation

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

Defining cost and assigning values

Helmut Simonis

Constraint

#### **Assignment Routines**

```
assign (Cost, Handle, NrNodes, Degrees,
       NodeSizes, Matrix) :-
    indomain(Cost),
    order_sizes (NrNodes, Degrees, NodeSizes,
                  OrderedSizes),
    search(OrderedSizes, 1, input_order, indomain,
            complete, []),
    order vars (Degrees, NodeSizes, Matrix,
                VarAssign),
    search(VarAssign, 0, input order, indomain max,
            complete, []).
                                                   omputation
```

entre

・ロト ・ 理 ト ・ ヨ ト ・

## Order ring size variables by increasing degree



## Ordering decision variables

```
order_vars(Degrees, NodeSizes, Matrix, VarAssign):-
    dim (Matrix, [NrNodes, NrRings]),
     (for(I,1,NrNodes),
     foreach(t(Size,Y,I),Terms),
     param (Degrees, NodeSizes) do
         subscript(NodeSizes, [I], Size),
         subscript(Degrees, [I], Degree),
         Y is -Degree
    ),
    sort(0, =<, Terms, Sorted),</pre>
     . . .
```

Constraint omputation Centre

くロト (過) (目) (日)

## Ordering decision variables

```
(foreach(t(_,_,I),Sorted),
fromto(VarAssign,Al,A,[]),
param(NrRings,Matrix) do
  (for(J,1,NrRings),
    fromto(A1,[X|AA],AA,A),
    param(I,Matrix) do
        subscript(Matrix,[I,J],X)
    )
).
```

Constraint omputation Centre

< 口 > < 同 > < 臣 > < 臣 >

## Data (13 nodes, 7 rings, 24 demands)

```
problem(13,7,
      [demand(1,9,8), demand(1,11,2), demand(2,3,25),
      demand(2,5,5), demand(2,9,2), demand(2,10,3),
      demand (2, 13, 4), demand (3, 10, 2), demand (4, 5, 4),
      demand(4,8,1), demand(4,11,5), demand(4,12,2),
      demand (5, 6, 5), demand (5, 7, 4), demand (7, 9, 5),
      demand(7,10,2), demand(7,12,6), demand(8,10,1),
      demand(8,12,4), demand(8,13,1), demand(9,12,5),
      demand(10,13,9), demand(11,13,3),
      demand(12,13,2)
     1,5,40).
                                                     onstraint
                                                   omputation
```

entre

イロン 不得 とくほ とくほ とう

#### Neighbors of a node

```
neighbors(N,List):-
    problem(_,_, Demands, _, _),
     (foreach(demand(I,J,),Demands),
      fromto([],A,A1,List),
     param(N) do
          (N = I \rightarrow )
              A1 = [J|A]
         ; N = J \rightarrow
              A1 = [I|A]
          ;
              A1 = A
     ).
```

Constraint Computation

Centre

э

ヘロト 人間 ト ヘヨト ヘヨト

### Outline











Conclusion

#### Search at Cost 18-21









A B + A B +
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A

#### Search at Cost 22





#### Conclusion

#### Search at Cost 23



		_	
	_	_	
_			
-			





#### 2 Program







- Introduced finite set and continuous domain solvers
- Finite set variables useful when values are sets of integers
- Useful when number of items assigned are unknown
- Can be linked with finite domains (cardinality) and 0/1 index variables

Constraint omputation

# Continuous domain variables

- Allow to reason about non-integral values
- Bound propagation similar to bound propagation over integers
- Difficult to enumerate values
- Assignment by domain splitting

Constraint omputation Centre

#### SONET Problem

- Example of optical network problems
- Competitive solution by combination of techniques
- Channeling, redundant constraints, symmetry breaking
- Decomposition by branching on objective value

Constraint omputation

#### More Information

#### Barbara M. Smith.

Symmetry and search in a network design problem. In Roman Barták and Michela Milano, editors, *CPAIOR*, volume 3524 of *Lecture Notes in Computer Science*, pages 336–350. Springer, 2005.



Constraint omputation